

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,390	06/15/2005	Martinus Wilhelmus Blum	NL 021317	4689
24737 7590 01/26/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			EDUN, MOHAMMAD N	
BRIARCLIFF N	MANOR, NY 10510	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2627	
·			·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/539,390	BLUM, MARTINUS WILHELMUS	
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		MUHAMMAD N. EDUN	2627	
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the state of the s	FROM THE MAILING D, ander the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 og date of this communication. we, the maximum statutory period will, by statute than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application	s in condition for allowar	une 2005. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims		•		
5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12 i 6) Claim(s) 11 and 13-18 7) Claim(s) is/are 8) Claim(s) are su Application Papers 9) The specification is obj 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not reques	(s) is/are withdraws/s/are allowed. sis/are rejected. objected to. bject to restriction and/o ected to by the Examine is/are: a) accept that any objection to the eet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) 1. ☑ Certified copies 2. ☐ Certified copies 3. ☐ Copies of the ceapplication from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents entified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Application it is a second to the contract of the cont	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 11 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11 and 13-18 are deemed improper and indefinite. For example claim 11 is improper because it is directed to an optical disc and depends on claim 1 that is directed to a method, and therefor claim 11does not further limit the method of claim 1. Similarly, claim 13-15 are directed to a method, that depends on claims 9 which is directed to an optical disc, and therefore improper because the do not limit the optical disk of claim 9. Further, claims 16-18 are directed to a disc drive apparatus that depends on claim 1 which is directed to a method, and again does not further limit the method of claim 1.

The claims are also indefinite because it is not clear as to what are being claims. Since the dependent claims (claims 11 and 13-18) seem to cross statutory boundaries, form method to product, or method to apparatus etc., it is totally confusing as to what is being claimed. It seems that the claims would be clearer if rewritten in independent form.

Art Unit: 2627

The optical disk of claims 11, method of claims 13-15 and apparatus of claims 16-18 could be infringed without infringing upon the respective base claims (claim 1 directed to a method and claim 9 directed to an optical disc). For example possession of a system/drive including instructions to perform a method that infringes on the claimed system/drive does not necessarily mean that the actual method has been performed and therefore the method of the base claim is not necessarily infringed. Hence, claims 11 and 13-15 are improper dependent claims as failing the Infringement test outlined in MPEP 608.01(n) II. TREATMENT OF IMPROPER DEPENDENT CLAIMS, III. INFRINGEMENT TEST.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 and 12 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art or record alone or in combination does not teach or suggest the method for storing information on an optical disk having the combination of steps with their recited process, along with consecutively writing the RIF, writing the ECC block after the RIF, and writing the ROF after the ECC block, characterized by coding a second predetermined amount of data into a second ECC block according to said

Art Unit: 2627

predefined format, and writing the second ECC block adjacent the first ECC block, as set forth in claims 1-8.

Further the prior art of record does not teach the optical disc having the specific structure as recited, along with having at least one sequence consisting of the RIF, a plurality of ECC blocks adjacent each other, and the first following ROF, as set forth in claims 9, 10 and 12.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Heemskerk et al. (6,628,584), Carbonaro et al. (6,336,157) and Tomita (US 2004/0030983), all disclose an optical disk apparatus having an optical disk including ecc blocks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD N. EDUN whose telephone number is 571-272-7617. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEXITIME.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,390

Art Unit: 2627

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MUHAMMAD N EDUN Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627